

EFFECTS OF GLOBAL WARMING ON THE STATE OF OREGON

GLOBAL WARMING WILL HURT OREGON

The vast majority of the world's leading scientists now agree that human activities may lead to substantial impacts on the global climate. Consensus estimates warn of an average increase in temperatures of between 2 and 10 degrees Celsius over the next century, leading to more severe drought, rising sea levels, shifting seasons and increased cases of disease.

In Oregon, this could lead to a number of problems. Projections show temperature increases of 4-5 degrees year-round. These higher temperatures and more frequent heat waves could increase heat-related deaths and illnesses from insect-borne diseases like malaria and West Nile virus. While malaria is rare in the state and West Nile has yet to appear, average summer temperatures in Portland are only 5 degrees lower than Los Angeles, where West Nile has been detected. With a four-degree increase in summer temperatures, increased heat waves could contribute to a 150% increase in heat-related deaths.

With substantial agricultural resources, Oregon is particularly sensitive to variations in the weather and water conditions. Runoff is highly variable in the state and summer flows are often low. Projected changes in temperatures and precipitation patterns, particularly reductions in winter snow pack and earlier spring snow melt, could result in increased flows in winter and decreased flows in summer. While the state makes considerable use of reservoirs, capacity limitations may result in lower summer water supplies, reducing the volumes that could be reliably supplied for hydroelectric, agricultural and other uses.

Salmon would suffer under lower summer stream volumes and higher temperatures, while warmer and drier summers would increase the fire risk for the state's forests and put substantial pressure on the \$3.5 billion agricultural industry.

THE "CLIMATE STEWARDSHIP ACT"

The Climate Stewardship Act (CSA), introduced in the Senate by Senators McCain and Lieberman, and in the House by Representatives Gilchrest and Olver, is based on a similar and highly successful program implemented by the Clean

IMPACTS ON OREGON

- More frequent heat waves
- Less reliable water supplies
- Los Angeles summer heat in Portland
- Reduced snow for ski industry
- Reduced hydroelectric power capacity

Air Act that has led to large reductions in rain-causing pollution with a minimum of economic costs. The Act would create a market-based cap-and-trade system to reduce emissions of carbon dioxide and other heat-trapping gases from electricity generators and other large industrial and commercial sources, covering 85% of the nation's emissions.

CLIMATE STEWARDSHIP ACT

- Cap and Trade
- Similar program reduced acid rain by 50% at 1/10 the estimated cost
- Lowest cost solution
- Protects rural electric co-ops

Under a cap-and-trade system, a fixed number of emissions allowances (permits) are distributed to emitters. One permit allows the holder to emit one metric ton of CO₂ or an equivalent amount of other gases. Companies that can run their business without using all their allowances can sell their surplus to companies whose actual emissions exceed their allowances. Under such a system, emissions are reduced by those who can do so at the lowest cost, thus minimizing economic impacts. Cap-and-trade systems, such as the one proposed in the Act, make reducing pollution a potential source of profit for companies, giving them an incentive to devise new and even cheaper ways to cut their emissions.

Beginning in 2010, the CSA would cap emissions at their 2000 levels. However, emissions could increase up to 15% beyond the cap if companies purchase offsets from other sources, such as "sequestration" credits from farms which increase carbon storage in soils and vegetation.

ECONOMIC IMPACTS

Estimates show that the benefits of the CSA would outweigh its costs by a ratio approaching 2:1. While the Act's provisions would impose about \$150 billion (net present value) in emissions reduction costs nationwide,

COST-EFFECTIVE FOR THE UNITED STATES

- \$250 billion benefits at cost of \$150 billion
- 500,000 new jobs by 2015

it would generate \$250 billion worth of benefits in the form of increased energy efficiency, reduced energy expenditures and economic growth through 2025. Nationwide, the Act would create over 500,000 jobs by 2015. Our analysis of the job impacts is based on research from the Tellus institute, a nonprofit research and consulting organization (www.tellus.org), which studied the effect of the Act's cap-and-trade program as well as energy efficiency and other tech-

nology incentive programs that would be funded through the Act.

Like the nation as a whole, a preliminary analysis shows that the impacts for Oregon are also largely positive. By 2015, 6,600 new jobs would be created

over a business-as-usual approach, growing to almost 10,400 new jobs by 2025. The gains would be spread throughout the state's economy, and while the utility sector could suffer some job losses statewide, these would be more than offset elsewhere through growth in construction, metals and other industries. Oregon stands to gain in a number of additional ways. For example, the CSA would allow covered entities to buy emissions allowances from forest and agricultural carbon sinks, which could provide an economic boost to the state's agricultural and forestry sectors. Oregon also has abundant resources for cellulosic ethanol. Comprised mainly of agricultural and forestry wastes, this could yield as much as 500 million gallons per year, more than enough to blend every gallon of gasoline sold in the state in 2000. By promoting the use of cleaner energy sources, the CSA would not only help provide clean air for Oregon's metropolitan areas without risking water contamination from chemicals like MTBE, but would also boost the state economy by fostering growth in these and other clean energy industries.

Nationally, not all sectors of the economy would benefit. Reducing CO2 and other emissions would require reduced use of fossil fuels, leading to economic contraction in those sectors. Increasing energy efficiency, while providing substantial benefits to both residential and commercial energy consumers, leads to reduced demand for electricity, posing some costs on that sector as well. Overall, however, these costs are more than offset by gains in other sectors, like construction, which would see a substantial increase in demand for new projects spurred by the increased implementation of renewable energy and energy-efficient technologies. The manufacturing sector would also see increased employment with increased demand for energy-efficient machinery and renewable energy components like wind turbines.

Oregon consumers also stand to benefit from the CSA. The energy efficiency provisions included in the Act will generate substantial savings in the form of reduced energy expenditures. While energy prices will increase moderately as a result of the pollution reduction requirements in the Act, these costs will be offset by reduced consumption and rebates of revenue raised by allowance sales. Energy savings for households and businesses free up substantial resources that can be

IMPACTS ON OREGON

- Net increase of 6,600 jobs by 2015
- Substantial wind power potential, even more than hydroelectric production
- Consumers save through energy efficiency improvements

reinvested in state and local economies.

There are other benefits as well. For example, Portland Gas and Electric has already instituted programs in the state to convert dairy herd waste into energy. Not only would the CSA increase demand for renewable energy, making such programs more profitable, but the reduction in methane emissions would create an additional source of revenues for dairy farmers, as they would be able to sell the reduction credits to covered sources. The Act would accelerate programs such as these, providing the state both with cleaner energy sources and new sources of income.

OTHER BENEFITS

- Increased demand for agricultural products, carbon sequestration and farm methane reductions.
- Wind energy could produce 43 billion kilowatt hours/year

While Oregon currently takes advantage of its substantial hydroelectric capacity, the state also has significant wind energy resources, with 260 megawatts of installed capacity. Oregon ranks 23rd in the country for wind potential, or about 43 billion kilowatt hours. That is more than the state currently produces from hydroelectric sources and could have supplied over 80% of state electricity demand in 2000.

DON'T UNDERESTIMATE ENTREPRENEURIAL INNOVATION

As the CSA is debated, a handful of naysayers will undoubtedly claim that doing anything to reduce global warming pollution will be economically disastrous. A close look at these dire predictions will reveal that they have little merit. For example, one is based on a six-year-old study of the Kyoto Protocol, a substantially different proposal than the CSA.

Studies predicting economic disaster from environmental protection invariably underestimate the ability of American businesses to innovate to solve new problems. When the Clean Air Act Amendments were debated in 1990, industry lobbyists predicted that the law would turn America into a third rate economic power. Not only have businesses survived the Clean Air Act, but we have thrived. Climate change is a problem that needs to be addressed. Our leaders need to have confidence in our ability to innovate.

E2: ENVIRONMENTAL ENTREPRENEURS

111 Sutter Street, 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
TEL (415) 875-6100 FAX (415) 875-6161
www.e2.org

REDEFINING PROGRESS

1904 Franklin Street, 6th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
TEL (510) 444-3041 FAX (510) 444-3191
www.redefiningprogress.org